

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

LINCOLN LANE ADDLEMAN, JR., MELVIN  
LYLE MCCLINTOCK, VINCENT AVI  
STORMFELT, and SHARI LYNN HANSEN,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

KING COUNTY; MITIZI G. JOHANKNECHT,  
Former Sheriff; CITY OF BURIEN; PATTI COLE-  
TINDALL, Current Sheriff; KING COUNTY SEX  
OFFENDER UNIT; KING COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
OFFICE; EVA CUNIO, Supervisor Civil Unit; P.  
("Pierre") THIRY, Detective; City of Burien Code  
Enforcement Officer, BARBARA CANFIELD; King  
County Detective MICHAEL W. LuCHAU; King  
County Detective JANETTE LUITGAARDEN; King  
County Registering Officer, E.M.W.; and King  
County Registering Officer, Michelle #74940,  
Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00286-JHC  
  
ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on a limited referral from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Dkt. # 77. The Ninth Circuit asks the Court to determine whether Plaintiffs' *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") status should continue on appeal or whether, instead, it should be revoked because the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith. *Id.* Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), an appeal may not be taken IFP if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith

1 or is frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); *see also* *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d  
2 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002).

3 The Court finds that the appeal is frivolous and therefore REVOKES IFP status on  
4 appeal. The Court DIRECTS the Clerk to forward a copy of this order to the United States Court  
5 of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and to Plaintiffs.

6 Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of November, 2023.

7 

8 John H. Chun  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24